arXiv:gr-qc/0408038v1 12 Aug 2004

Back-reaction effects in power-law inflation

Mauricio Bellini*
Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET)
and
Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata,
Funes 3350, (7600) Mar del Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Abstract

I consider a power-law inflationary model taking into account back-reaction effects. The interesting result is that the spectrum for the scalar field fluctuations does not depends on the expansion rate of the universe p and that it result to be scale invariant for cosmological scales. However, the amplitude for these fluctuations depends on p.

Pacs numbers: 98.80.Cq

An inflationary phase eliminates the major problems in cosmology, namely flatness, the horizon problem, homogeneity and the numerical density of monopoles [1–4]. However, the main problem is how to attach the observed universe to the end of the inflationary epoch. In the standard (isentropic) inflationary scenarios, the radiation energy density ρ_r scales with the inverse fourth power of the scale factor, becoming quickly negligible. In such case, a short time reheating period terminates the inflationary period and initiates the radiation dominated epoch. The most widely accepted approach assumes that the inflationary phase is driven by a quantum scalar field φ related with a scalar potential $V(\varphi)$. Within this perspective, stochastic inflation proposes to describe the dynamics of this quantum field on the basis of two pieces: the spatially homogeneous and inhomogeneous components $\phi_c(t)$ and $\phi(\vec{x},t)$. During inflation vacuum fluctuations on scales smaller than the Hubble radius are magnified into classical perturbations in the scalar fields on scales larger than the Hubble radius. Classical perturbations in the scalar fields can then change the number of e folds of expansion and so lead to classical curvature and density perturbations after inflation. Density perturbations are thught to be responsible for the formation of galaxies and the large scale structure of the observable universe as well as, in combination with the gravitational waves produced during inflation, for the anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background. The study of back-reaction effects in a single field model of inflation is the main subject of interest in this note.

---

[*] E-mail address: mbellini@mdp.edu.ar

I shall consider a scalar field $\varphi(\vec{x},t)$ which can be decomposed by the semiclassical manner $\varphi(\vec{x},t) = \phi_c(t) + \phi(\vec{x},t)$, such that $\langle\phi(\vec{x},t)\rangle = 0$, $\left\langle\dot{\phi}(\vec{x},t)\right\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\varphi(\vec{x},t)\rangle = \phi_c(t)$ on a background spatially flat, Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric. It is well known that fluctuations ϕ induce scalar metric fluctuations Φ such that $ds^2 = -(1+2\Phi)dt^2 + (1-2\Phi^2)a^2(t)dr^2$ [5–8], but the aim of this work is the study of the Hubble inhomogeneities effects $\delta H = H'_c(\phi_c)\phi(\vec{x},t)$, on the dynamics of the k-modes of the ϕ-fluctuations.

The dynamics of ϕ_c during inflation is given by

$$\ddot{\phi}_c + 3H_c\dot{\phi}_c + V'(\phi_c) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the field. On the other hand, if we expand the Hubble parameter

$$H(\varphi) = H_c(\phi_c) + H'(\phi_c)\phi, \tag{2}$$

the Friedmann equation that describes the dynamics of the Hubble parameter in terms of the potential V will be

$$\frac{3}{8\pi G}\left\langle H^2\right\rangle = \left\langle\frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2a^2}\left(\nabla\varphi\right)^2 + V(\varphi)\right\rangle, \tag{3}$$

which can be expanded as

$$\frac{3}{8\pi G}\left\langle H^2\right\rangle = \left[\frac{\dot{\phi}_c^2}{2} + V(\phi_c) + \frac{\left\langle\dot{\phi}^2\right\rangle}{2} + \frac{1}{2a^2}\left\langle(\nabla\phi)^2\right\rangle + \frac{V''(\phi_c)}{2}\left\langle\phi^2\right\rangle\right], \tag{4}$$

on the background spatially flat FRW metric $ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)dr^2$. Here, the scale factor evolves as $a \sim e^{\int <H> dt} \equiv e^{\int H_c dt}$, such that $H_c = \dot{a}/a$. However, the effective scale factor a_{eff} in eq. (4) due to squared ϕ-fluctuations, would be $a_{eff} = a^{(0)}_{eff}\, e^{\int\sqrt{<H^2>}dt}$, where $a^{(0)}_{eff}$ is the scale factor when inflation begins. Such that effect would change the background metric $ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2dr^2 \rightarrow ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2_{eff}dr^2$. Note that eq. (4) give us the expectation value for energy density.

If we make a Fourier expansion for the redefined fluctuations $\chi = a^{3/2}\phi(\vec{x},t)$

$$\chi(\vec{x},t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\int d^3k\left[a_k\xi_k(t)e^{i\vec{k}.\vec{x}} + h.c.\right], \tag{5}$$

the dynamics of the k-modes may be affected due to actually, the dynamics of ξ_k is described by

$$\ddot{\xi}_k + a^{-2}\left[k^2 - k_0^2\right]\xi_k(t) = 0, \tag{6}$$

where

$$k_0^2 = a^2\left[\frac{9}{4}H_c^2 + \frac{3}{2}\dot{H}_c - V''(\phi_c) - 3H'_c(\phi_c)\dot{\phi}_c\right]. \tag{7}$$

Note that the last term in eq. (7) appears as a consequence of the ϕ-first order expansion of the Hubble parameter. Using $\dot{\phi}_c(t) = -\frac{1}{4\pi G}H'_c$ and $H'_c = \dot{H}_c/\dot{\phi}_c$, the equation (7) can be written as

$$k_0^2 = a^2 \left[\frac{9}{4} H_c^2 - \frac{3}{2} \dot{H}_c - V''(\phi_c) \right]. \tag{8}$$

The wavenumber $k_0(t)$ separates the ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) sectors, where the wavenumbers k are respectively $k \gg k_0$ and $k \ll k_0$. The condition to inflation takes place is now $p > 4/3$. The equation (3) without consider the inhomogeneities for H and $V(\varphi)$, gives

$$H_c^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left[\frac{\dot{\phi}_c^2}{2} + V(\phi_c) \right]. \tag{9}$$

In power-law inflation the scale factor evolves as $a \sim t^p$ and the Hubble parameter $H_c = \dot{a}/a = p/t$. Furthermore, the equation for the modes (6) it is assumed a time dependent wavenumber $k_0(t)$

$$\frac{k_0^2(t)}{a^2} = t^{-2} \left[\frac{9}{4} p^2 - \frac{9}{2} p + 2 \right], \tag{10}$$

where now appears the term $-9p/2$ instead of $-15p/2$ inside the brackets [see refs. [9,10]], due to the additional term $3H_c' \dot{\phi}_c \phi$ in the equation for the fluctuations. Hence, the fluctuations of the Hubble parameter $H_c' \phi$ modify the spectrum of the fluctuations ϕ. The general solution for the eq. (6) with time dependent wavenumber $k_0(t)$ is

$$\xi_k(t) = A_1 \sqrt{t} \mathcal{H}_\nu^{(1)} \left[\frac{k t^{1-p} H_0}{(p-1) t_0^{-p}} \right] + A_2 \sqrt{t} \mathcal{H}_\nu^{(2)} \left[\frac{k t^{1-p} H_0}{(p-1) t_0^{-p}} \right], \tag{11}$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{(1,2)}[x(t)] = \mathcal{J}_\nu[x(t)] \pm i \mathcal{Y}_\nu[x(t)]$ are the Hankel functions with $\nu = \frac{3\sqrt{(p-1)^2}}{2(p-1)} = \pm 3/2$ for $p > 1$ and $p < 1$, respectively and $x(t) = \frac{k t^{1-p} H_0}{(p-1) t_0^{-p}}$ is a dimensionless function.

Note that the value for ν is not a function of p during inflation. This is an important difference with the case of power-law inflation when the term $3H_c' \dot{\phi}$ is not considered in eq. (6). In that case [9], $\nu = \frac{\sqrt{9/4p^2 - 15/2p + 9/4}}{(p-1)}$ and the range of possible ν-values during inflation is: $\nu_{p \rightarrow -\infty} \rightarrow -\infty < v < \nu_{p \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \infty$, where ν is not defined in the range $1/3 < \nu < 3$.

Since in our case $\nu = 3/2$, the solution for eq. (6) is

$$\xi_k(t) = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2(p-1)}} \left\{ \frac{x(t) \ \cos[x(t)] - \sin[x(t)] + i \ [\cos[x(t)] + x(t) \ \sin[x(t)]]}{x^{3/2}(t)} \right\}. \tag{12}$$

Hence, the squared fluctuations $\left\langle \chi^2 \right\rangle$ will be

$$\left\langle \chi^2 \right\rangle = \frac{t}{4\pi^2 (p-1)} \left[\left(\frac{(p-1) t^{p-1}}{t_0^p H_o} \right)^3 \int_{k_{min}}^{k_p} \frac{dk}{k} + \left(\frac{(p-1) t^{p-1}}{t_0^p H_o} \right) \int_{k_{min}}^{k_p} dk \ k \right], \tag{13}$$

where $k_p = 1$ (in Planckian unities) is the Planckian cut-off and $k_{min} = a_0/a(t) \ll 1$ for $t \gg t_0$. Note that the second term in eq. (13) becomes zero at the end of power-law inflation (i.e., for $p = 1$). The first integrate dominates on very small scales and the second one on very large scales. The original squared fluctuations are recovered by means of the map $\left\langle \phi^2 \right\rangle = a^{-3} \left\langle \chi^2 \right\rangle$.

On the other hand the expectation value for the squared gradient is

$$\left\langle (\nabla\chi)^2 \right\rangle = -\frac{t}{4\pi^2(p-1)}\left[\left(\frac{(p-1)t^{p-1}}{t_0^p H_o}\right)^3 \int_{k_{min}}^{k_p} dk\ k + \left(\frac{(p-1)t^{p-1}}{t_0^p H_o}\right)\int_{k_{min}}^{k_p} dk\ k^3\right], \tag{14}$$

where $\left\langle (\nabla\phi)^2 \right\rangle = a^{-3}\left\langle (\nabla\chi)^2 \right\rangle$, which rapidly becomes small during inflation.

Since $\phi = a^{-3/2}\chi$, the squared $\dot{\phi}$-fluctuations can be written as

$$\left\langle \dot{\phi}^2 \right\rangle = \frac{9}{4}a^{-3}H_c^2\left\langle \chi^2 \right\rangle + a^{-3}\left\langle \dot{\chi}^2 \right\rangle - \frac{3}{2}a^{-3}H_c\left[\langle \chi\dot{\chi}\rangle + \langle \dot{\chi}\chi\rangle\right], \tag{15}$$

where the squared $\dot{\chi}$-fluctuations and the $(\chi\dot{\chi} + \dot{\chi}\chi)$-correlations are

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \dot{\chi}^2 \right\rangle = {} & \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\left[\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^p \frac{1}{H_0}\right] t^{-4}\left(\frac{9}{8}p^4 - \frac{15}{4}p^3 + \frac{37}{8}p^2 - \frac{5}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}\right)\int_{k_{min}}^{k_p} \frac{dk}{k} \\ & - \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\left[\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^p \frac{1}{H_0}\right] t^{-2}\left(\frac{3}{8}p^2 - p\right)\int_{k_{min}}^{k_p} dk\ k + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\left[H_0\left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^p\right]\int_{k_{min}}^{k_p} dk\ k^3, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\langle \chi\dot{\chi} + \dot{\chi}\chi \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\left[\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^p \frac{t^{-1}}{H_0}\right]^3 \left(\frac{3}{2}p^3 - 4p^2 + \frac{7}{2}p - 1\right)\int_{k_{min}}^{k_p} \frac{dk}{k} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\left[\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^p \frac{t^{-1}}{H_0}\right]\int_{k_{min}}^{k_p} dk\ k. \tag{17}$$

Note that the first terms in eqs. (16) and (17) are zero for $p = 2/3, 1$ [see eq. (4)]. The expectation value for radiation energy density $< \rho >= \frac{3}{8\pi G} < H^2 >$ will be

$$\frac{3}{8\pi G}\left\langle H^2 \right\rangle = \rho(\phi_c, \dot{\phi}_c) + \frac{1}{2a^3}\left[\left\langle \chi^2 \right\rangle\left[\frac{9}{4}H_c^2 + V''(\phi_c)\right] + \left\langle \dot{\chi}^2 \right\rangle - \frac{3}{2}\left[\langle \chi\dot{\chi} + \dot{\chi}\chi\rangle\right] + \frac{1}{a^2}\left\langle (\nabla\chi)^2 \right\rangle\right], \tag{18}$$

where $\langle \chi^2 \rangle$, $\langle (\nabla\chi)^2 \rangle$, $\langle \dot{\chi}^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \chi\dot{\chi} + \dot{\chi}\chi \rangle$ are given respectively by eqs. (13), (14), (16), (17) and

$$\rho(\phi_c, \dot{\phi}_c) = \frac{\dot{\phi}_c^2}{2} + V(\phi_c). \tag{19}$$

It is easy to demonstrate that the second term in eq. (18) is very small at the end of power-law inflation. This term describes the back-reaction contribution for $< \rho >$ and should be the main contribution for the cosmological constant at the end of inflation.

To summarize, in this work I studied the spectrum for scalar fluctuations in power-law inflation taking into account the first order fluctuations of the Hubble parameter. It changes the equation for the ξ_k-modes of the redefined quantum field χ, which now becomes

$$\ddot{\xi}_k + a^{-2}\left[k^2 - a^2\left(\frac{9}{4}H_c^2 - \frac{3}{2}\dot{H}_c - V''(\phi_c)\right)\right]\xi_k = 0,$$

rather than

$$\ddot{\xi}_k + a^{-2}\left[k^2 - a^2\left(\frac{9}{4}H_c^2 + \frac{3}{2}\dot{H}_c - V''(\phi_c)\right)\right]\xi_k = 0.$$

The interesting of the result for the new equation is that $\nu = 3/2$ [see eq. (11)] does not depends on the value of p [for a scale factor that evolves as: $a \sim t^p$], and so the same power spectrum of the ϕ-squared fluctuations result to be the same for any scale factor rate expansion p. For super Hubble scales, the spectrums for $\langle\chi^2\rangle$, $\langle\dot{\chi}^2\rangle$ and $\langle\chi\dot{\chi}+\dot{\chi}\chi\rangle$ are scale invariant. However, the amplitude for the fluctuations depends on p. On the other hand, at the end of inflation the correlations $\langle\chi\dot{\chi}+\dot{\chi}\chi\rangle$ are frozen and $(\langle\phi^2\rangle$, $\left\langle\dot{\phi}^2\right\rangle)$ become very small.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge CONICET and AGENCIA and Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata (Argentina) for support.

REFERENCES

[1] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. **B91**, 99 (1980).

[2] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 347 (1981).

[3] A. Albrecht, P. J. Steinhardt, M. S. Turner and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1437 (1982).

[4] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B129**, 177 (1983).

[5] J. M. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 1882 (1980).

[6] V. F. Mukhanov, R. H.Brandenberger and H. Feldman, Phys. Rep. **215**, 203 (1992).

[7] A. S. Goncharov, A. D. Linde and V. F. Mukhanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A2**, 561 (1987).

[8] M. Bellini, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 107301 (2000).

[9] M. Bellini, H. Casini, R. Montemayor, P. Sisterna, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 7172 (1996); M. Bellini. Nucl. Phys. **B604**, 441 (2001).

[10] S.Habib, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 2408 (1992).